ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble JUSTICE RANJIT KUMAR BAG

& The Hon'ble DR. SUBESH KUMAR DAS

Case No - <u>OA 841 OF 2013</u>

MOHITOSH KUMAR PAHARI Vs The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessar 3
16	For the Applicant : Mr. S. Tripathy,	
21.06.2018	Learned Advocate.	
	For the Respondents : Mr. S. K. Mondal,	
	Learned Advocate.	
	The applicant has prayed for interest on delayed	
	payment of gratuity. The applicant retired from the post	
	of District Inspector of School, Howrah on December 31,	
	2011. The contention of the applicant is that he received	
	gratuity to the tune of Rs. 5,84,750/- on January 22, 2013	
	and thereby the applicant has been deprived of the interest	
	on the amount of gratuity for the period from January	
	2012 to January 2013.	
	Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the	
	applicant was not at fault for the delayed payment of	
	gratuity. On the other hand, Learned Counsel	
	representing the state respondents contends that the	
	applicant did not submit formal application for pension	
	before one year from the date of retirement as laid down	
	in Memorandum No. 1315-F(Pen) dated October 14, 1996	
	issued by the Joint Secretary to the Government of West	
	Bengal, Department of Finance (Audit Branch-Pension	
	Cell). On perusal of the said Memorandum, we find that	

MOHITOSH KUMAR PAHARI

Form No.

VS. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

.....

Case No. <u>OA 841 OF 2013</u>

the Head of the Office of the applicant had the duty to give intimation to the applicant and supply the form for submitting application in the prescribed format for pension and other retirement benefits to the Head of the Office not less than one year in advance from the date of his superannuation. There is nothing on record to indicate that Head of the Office supplied the prescribed form and gave intimation to the applicant before one year from the date of superannuation, though it is on record that the applicant submitted the application in the prescribed format for pension only five months in advance from the date of superannuation. Under the above circumstances, we are of the view that the application was not at fault for delayed payment of gratuity.

The question for consideration of the Tribunal is whether the applicant is entitled to get interest on the amount of Gratuity. By virtue of Memorandum No. 9112-F dated September 24, 1979 issued by the Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Finance Department, Audit Branch read with letter No. 2196-F(PEN) dated December 09, 1991 issued by the Deputy Secretary, Finance Department, Audit Branch, the applicant is entitled to get interest on Gratuity at the rate of 5 per cent per annum for the period beyond three months when the gratuity becomes due till the end of the preceding month on which payment was actually made, if there was no

MOHITOSH KUMAR PAHARI

Form No.

H.S

•••

Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA 841 OF 2013</u>

(S.K.DAS) Member (A)	(R.K.BAG) Member (J)	
parties.		
Let a plain copy of the o	order be supplied to both	
disposed of.		
With the above direction, a	the original application is	
communication of the order.		
within a period of 12 (twelve) weeks from the date of		
the period from April 01, 2012 to December 31, 2012		
applicant @ 5 % p.a. on the amount of Rs. 5,84,750/- for		
Bengal is directed to make payment of interest to the		
Commissioner of school Education, Government of West		
In view of our above findi		
gratuity as observed herein abov		
cannot be attributed to the conduct of the applicant. So, the applicant is entitled to get interest on the amount of		
lapse on the part of the applicant and as such, the delay		
there is nothing on record to indicate that there was any		
lapse on the part of the applicant. In the instant case,		